



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/752,307	12/29/2000	Clifford Lee Knight	36968-206125	8010	
38823 75	38823 7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/BELLSOUTH I.P. CORP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY SUITE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339			TRAN, PABLO N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
Office Action Summary	09/752,307	KNIGHT, CLIFFORD LEE				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Pablo N Tran	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	pears on the cover shoe.	with the correspondence dual costs				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	August 2004					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-34 is/are withdrason 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 and 35-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject.	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abe	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	- , ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application N o en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PT <i>O-</i> 413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 recites the limitation "said motorized". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 7-9, 13-28, 30-31, and 35-38 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Priest et al. (6,047,160).

As per claims 1 and 35, *Priest et al.* disclosed a remote, self-contained communications antenna apparatus (fig. 2), mounted on a vehicle (col. 5/ln. 49-51), for transceiving wireless communication signals between said equipment and a disconnected cell site (col. 3/ln. 22-30, col. 5/ln. 28-44) that has been

Art Unit: 2685

disconnected from its cellular system, and transceiving communication signals between said equipment and a communications network (col. 2/ln. 49-col. 3/ln. 30).

As per claims 7, 17, and 31, *Priest et al.* disclosed digital processing devices, or wireless devices (fig. 3a/no. 152, 154, 156, 158, 164, 166, 172, 174).

As per claims 8 and 13, *Priest et al.* disclosed communication signals are at any frequency signal in the electromagnetic spectrum (col. 5/ln. 18-26).

As per claims 14-15, *Priest et al.* disclosed celestial communications network or terrestrial communications network (col. 3/ln. 51-54, col. 5/ln. 45-58).

As per claims 18 and 27, *Priest et al.* disclosed a power source (col. 5/ln. 45-58).

As per claim 19, *Priest et al.* disclosed a personal computer (col. 5/ln. 45-58).

As per claim 20, *Priest et al.* disclosed a motorized vehicle (col. 5/ln. 45-58, col. 6/ln. 46-55).

As per claims 21-22, *Priest et al.* disclosed a trailer (col. 5/ln. 45-58, col. 6/ln. 46-55).

As per claim 22, *Priest et al.* disclosed a motorized vehicle (col. 5/ln. 45-58, col. 6/ln. 46-55).

As per claim 23, *Priest et al.* disclosed the charging source further charges the motorized vehicle (col. 5/ln. 45-58).

As per claim 24, *Priest et al.* disclosed an extendible mast (col. 5/ln. 45-58, col. 6/ln. 46-55).

Art Unit: 2685

As per claims 25-26, *Priest et al.* disclosed wherein said signal processor comprises a digital/analog signal processor (col. 4/ln. 12-34).

As per claim 28, *Priest et al.* disclosed the remote antenna apparatus communicated with the disconnected cell site using wireless communications (col. 2/ln. 49-col. 3/ln. 30).

As per claims 30 and 36, as stated in claim1, *Priest et al.* further disclosed cellular devices that use a standard setup channel and frequency coordination (col. 2/ln. 49-col. 3/ln. 30).

As per claims 37-38, *Priest et al.* disclosed communication signals are wireless (col. 2/ln. 49-col. 3/ln. 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-6, 10-12, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Priest et al.* (6,047,160) in view or *Knoblach et al.* (6,628,941).

As per claims 2-5 and 10-12, *Priest et al.* disclosed such frequency range of 806-960 MHz but not in the range of 1710-1855 MHz, 2500-2690 MHz, or 2.4-2.5 GHz. However, such is notoriously well known in the art, as suggest by *Knoblach et al.* (col. 7/ln. 44-col. 8/ln. 15). Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2685

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such communication signals transmitted at high frequency range, as suggest by *Knoblach et al.*, to the communication system of *Priest et al.* in order to ensure that mobile terminals are always in communication range.

As per claim 6, the modified communication system of *Priest et al.* further disclosed wireless paging devices (see *Knoblach et al.*, col. 7/ln. 44-col. 8/ln. 15).

As per claim 29, the modified communication system of *Priest et al.* further disclosed the remote antenna apparatus communicated with the disconnected cell site using wired communications (see *Knoblach et al.*, fig. 2/no. 30d).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2685

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

November 19, 2004

PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU2685